

REPORT - HYDROGEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT INCORPORATING 48 HOUR PUMP TEST

27782 HUME HIGHWAY, BOWNING, NSW, LOT 172 DEPOSITED PLAN 649063

Prepared For:
Project Number:
Date:

Barker Group ENRS0978 December 2018





COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

This document has been prepared consistent with accepted scientific practice, supported by available data and resource conditions, as determined by limited data acquisition during the assessment period, evident at the site at the time. The designated recipients of this report accept all risks and responsibility for losses, damages, costs and other consequences resulting directly or indirectly from using the results of the interpretation, the data, and any information or conclusions drawn from it, whether or not caused by any negligent act or omission.

To the maximum permitted by law, *ENRS Pty Ltd* excludes all liability to any person or identity, arising directly or indirectly from using the information or material contained herein.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAWS PROTECT THIS DOCUMENT

Copyright in the material provided in this document is owned by *ENRS Pty Ltd,* and third parties may only use the information in the ways described in this legal notice:

- Temporary copies may be generated, necessary to review the data.
- A single copy may be copied for research or personal use.
- The documents may not be changed, nor any part removed including copyright notice.
- Request in writing is required for any variation to the above.
- An acknowledgement to the source of any data published from this document is mandatory.

Author and Document Control

Written/Submitted by:	Reviewed / Approved by:
Matt Lemcke Geologist & Environmental Consultant	Rohan Last Hydrogeologist & Environmental Scientist

Record of Distribution

Copies	Report No. & File Name	Status	Date	Prepared for:
1 x PDF	ENRS0978_HA48hrPT_27782 Hume Hwy Bowning	Rev.1	18 th Dec. 2018	Barker Group



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Environment & Natural Resource Solutions (ENRS Pty Ltd) were commissioned as independent groundwater consultants to prepare a Hydrogeological Assessment (HA) incorporating the results of a 48 Hour Pump Test for a new production Bore at Lot 172 in DP 649063, street number 27782 Hume Highway, Bowning, NSW, 2582 (herein referred to as the Site).

ENRS understand this HA is required to document the groundwater supply at the Site in anticipation of development of a Highway Service Centre. The Centre will not be connected to the Yass Shire reticulation system. The proposal is to utilise groundwater at the Site to meet the design peak daily demand of approximately 20 Kilolitres per day.

Test drilling during the scope of work culminated in the construction and airlift testing of one (1) proposed production bore. The bore has intersected fractured and porous rock aquifers at depths between 48 and 115 metres with a cumulative yield up to 1.7 L/s demonstrated during air lifting. The bore was subject to a 48 hour drawdown and recovery pump test which reported a final drawdown level of 21.28 mbgl which is significantly shallower than the available limit at 108 metres.

This report documents the findings of a hydrogeological assessment incorporating aquifer testing (drillers airlift) in general accordance with requirements for water bores outlined by the *NSW Office of Water (NOW)* and consistent with the spirit and principles of the *NSW State Groundwater Policy Framework Document* (NSW Government 1997), the *NSW State Groundwater Quality Protection Policy* (NSW Government 1998) and the *NSW State Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Policy* (NSW Government 2002).

The project objectives were to prepare a site specific hydrogeological assessment to document the hydrogeological setting and aquifer properties, and provide an assessment if the bore is capable of meeting the project water supply requirements supported by a 48 Hour Pump Test. Provide recommendations on bore yield, sustainable pumping regimes and assess potential impacts, if any, in context of the Site proposal.

A hydrogeological assessment was conducted for the site by undertaking the following scope of work:

- Pre-commencement groundwater target study desktop review of available site data including; previous reports (if any); aerial photographs; geological and topographic maps; registered bore records;
- > Review of the site proposal, water demand and operational requirements;
- > Site inspection, check licensing requirements for distance setbacks and peg drilling targets;
- > Prepare and lodge test bore drilling licences with NOW;
- Drill and construct test bore by licensed driller. Log cuttings and record details of aquifer intercepts. Conduct a minimum two (2) hour airlift to measure cumulative bore yield;
- > 48 Hour drawdown and recovery Pump Test and data analysis;
- Collect representative water samples upon completion of pump testing and submit samples for NATA accredited laboratory analysis. Tabulate and compare the laboratory results against the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG);



- Review the drilling and aquifer testing results. Identify any potential impacts from the proposed bore operations on existing bores, groundwater users (if any) and groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs), if any; and
- Compile the investigation results, prepare a Hydrogeological Assessment (HA) report including recommendations for bore licensing, groundwater management, and pumping regimes.

Based on the findings obtained during the scope of work the following conclusions and recommendations may be made:

- The subject bore was drilled and constructed by Bungendore Water Bores in April 2018. The bore was drilled to a depth of 120 m with 48 metres of solid surface casing and a 5 metre clay sanitary seal at the surface to prevent connection with any shallow soil water. The bore was completed with slotted PVC casing and gravel packed in general accordance with the Minimum Construction Requirements for Water Bores in Australia – 3rd Edition (2012). The bore sustained a drillers air lift yield of 1.7 L/s for three (3) hours during test drilling;
- The bore was subjected to a 48 hour (Bore 1) drawdown and recovery pumping test. The results indicate the subject bore is capable of sustaining an allocation of 24ML under the following pumping regime:
 - Bore 1 up to 1.15 L/s; 16 hr Pumping; 8 hrs Recovery; 24 ML per year at 66 % duty. A higher pumping rate and operating duty may be realised supported by monitoring of pumping performance and recovery rates.
- The proposal is to convert the test bore to a production bore with a commercial, industrial and domestic groundwater access licence with an entitlement of 24 ML to facilitate the proposed development. The pumping yield of 1.15 L/s exceeds the estimated peak demand for the Site proposal of approximately 30,000 Litres per day (or up to 11 ML per year);
- Based on the capable yield the recommended pumping regime is considered low risk for groundwater impacts. Final drawdown in the bore was 21.28 mbgl which is significantly shallower than the available drawdown limit at a depth of 108 m below ground level at the top of the slotted casing and the primary aquifer zone. No registered water bores are present within a 1 km radius of the site and similarly no GDEs were identified at the Site area. Hence, it is assessed that under the proposed operating regime the bore will have no significant measurable impact on the groundwater environment and existing users in the area;
- NATA certificated laboratory results report water quality is generally within the criteria outlined by the ADWG (2016). Minor levels of iron and manganese are expected to reduce with long-term pumping as drilling sediment decrease, and any residual levels may be managed through primary treatment systems; and
- > It is recommended that this report be submitted for final endorsement by the regulator.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECU	JTIVE SUMMARYI	I
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Objectives	3
1.2	Scope of Work	3
2.0	SITE DESCRIPTION	7
2.1	Location	7
2.2	Topography	7
2.3	Geology	3
2.4	Hydrogeology	9
3.0	TEST DRILLING1	1
3.1	Test Bore Licence	1
3.2	Selection of Drilling Targets12	2
3.3	Airlift Test Results13	3
3.4	Drilling Results13	3
4.0	BORE CONSTRUCTION14	4
5.0	AQUIFER TESTING	1
5.1	Pump Test Methodology14	1
5.2	Pump Test Results15	5
5.3	Capable Yield16	3
6.0	WATER QUALITY	5
6.1	Potential Hydrogen16	3
6.2	Salinity17	7
6.3	Metals and Metalloids17	7
7.0	IMPACT ASSESSMENT	7
7.1	Distance Drawdown17	7
7.2	Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDE)18	3
8.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
9.0	REFERENCES)
10.0	REFERENCES	1
LIMITA	TIONS	1



LIST OF TABLES, FIGURES & APPENDICES

TABLES

- Table 1: Site Identification
- Table 2: Stratigraphic Formations
- Table 3: Registered Bores within 5 km of the Site
- Table 4: Test Bore Licence Summary
- Table 5:Test Bore Drilling Results
- Table 6: Bore Construction Summary
- Table 7: Summary of Drawdown and Recovery Test Results
- Table 8: Water Salinity Criteria
- Table 9: Identified Potential GDEs

FIGURES

- Figure 1: Site Location Map
- Figure 2: Geological Setting
- Figure 3: Registered bore locations (Water NSW online database)
- Figure 4: Test Bore Targets

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Form A Drillers Logs
- Appendix B Pump Test Results
- Appendix C NATA Laboratory Certificates of Analysis (CoA)



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Environment & Natural Resource Solutions (ENRS Pty Ltd) were commissioned as independent groundwater consultants to prepare a Hydrogeological Assessment (HA) incorporating the results of a 48 Hour Pump Test for a new production Bore at Lot 172 in DP 649063, street number 27782 Hume Highway, Bowning, NSW, 2582 (herein referred to as the Site).

ENRS understand this HA is required to document the groundwater supply at the Site in anticipation of development of a Highway Service Centre. The Centre will not be connected to the Yass Shire reticulation system. The proposal is to utilise groundwater at the Site to meet the design peak daily demand of approximately 20 Kilolitres per day.

Test drilling during the scope of work culminated in the construction and airlift testing of one (1) proposed production bore. The bore has intersected fractured and porous rock aquifers at depths between 48 and 115 metres with a cumulative yield up to 1.7 L/s demonstrated during air lifting. The bore was subject to a 48 hour drawdown and recovery pump test which reported a final drawdown level of 21.28 mbgl which significantly shallower than the available limit at 108 metres.

This report documents the findings of a hydrogeological assessment incorporating aquifer testing (drillers airlift) in general accordance with requirements for water bores outlined by the *NSW Office of Water (NOW)* and consistent with the spirit and principles of the *NSW State Groundwater Policy Framework Document* (NSW Government 1997), the *NSW State Groundwater Quality Protection Policy* (NSW Government 1998) and the *NSW State Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Policy* (NSW Government 2002).

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The project objectives were to prepare a site specific hydrogeological assessment to document the hydrogeological setting and aquifer properties, and provide an assessment if the bore is capable of meeting the project water supply requirements supported by a 48 Hour Pump Test. Provide recommendations on bore yield, sustainable pumping regimes and assess potential impacts, if any, in context of the Site proposal.

1.2 SCOPE OF WORK

A hydrogeological assessment was conducted for the site by undertaking the following scope of work:

- Pre-commencement groundwater target study desktop review of available site data including; previous reports (if any); aerial photographs; geological and topographic maps; registered bore records;
- > Review of the site proposal, water demand and operational requirements;
- > Site inspection, check licensing requirements for distance setbacks and peg drilling targets;
- Prepare and lodge test bore drilling licences with NOW;
- Drill and construct test bore by licensed driller. Log cuttings and record details of aquifer intercepts. Conduct a minimum two (2) hour airlift to measure cumulative bore yield;



- > 48 Hour drawdown and recovery Pump Test and data analysis;
- Collect representative water samples upon completion of pump testing and submit samples for NATA accredited laboratory analysis. Tabulate and compare the laboratory results against the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG);
- Review the drilling and aquifer testing results. Identify any potential impacts from the proposed bore operations on existing bores, groundwater users (if any) and groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs), if any; and
- Compile the investigation results, prepare a Hydrogeological Assessment (HA) report including recommendations for bore licensing, groundwater management, and pumping regimes.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 LOCATION

The Site is located approximately two (2) kilometres west of the Township of Bowning in the Southern Tablelands of NSW. The site location is shown in **Figure 1**. The key features required to identify the Site are summarised in **Table 1**.

SITE	DESCRIPTION
Street Address	27782 Hume Highway, Bowning, NSW, 2582
Lot / Deposited Plan	172 / 649063
Local Government Area	Yass Valley
Water Sharing Plan	NSW Murray Darling Basin Fractured Rock

Table 1: Site Identification

2.2 TOPOGRAPHY

A review of the Yass (8628-2N) 1:25,000 topographic map sheet was conducted to assess the regional topography and identify potential groundwater controls including the location of structural lineaments, paleo-channels, recharge and discharge zones, and groundwater flow gradients. The Site is situated in a relatively flat and undulating area with a subtle regional gradient to the east as illustrated by drainage features mapped as blue lines on the topography sheet in **Figure 1**. The drainage features mapped within the Site are expected to be ephemeral.







2.3 GEOLOGY

Review of the geological setting was conducted with reference to the Yass 1:100,000 geological series sheets. The site geology is provided in **Figure 2**. The assessment area is mapped as underlain by Laidlaw Volcanic (Sdl) with residual Quaternary deposits in lower lying areas through the central north and to the east. The mapped geology indicates there is a structural boundary in the far east of the site where the volcanics meet sedimentary deposits (Sdws-Mudstone). The stratigraphy is summarised in **Table 2** below:

Period	Stratigraphy / Formation	Symbol	Lithology		
Quaternary	Quaternary	Qa	Alluvial gravel, sand silt and clay.		
Siluro-Devon	Laidlaw Volcanics	Sdl	Porphyritic rhyodacitic ignimbrite (extrusive volcanic)		
Siluro-Devon	Brickies Creek Mudstone	Sdws	Light grey to beige aphanitic vitric tuff, light to grey siliceous mudstone		

Table 2:	Stratigraphic	Formations
	onungrupino	I OI III allollo





Figure 2: Geological Setting

2.4 HYDROGEOLOGY

The Site is located within the Murray-Darling basin fractured groundwater system. Based on the site geology, groundwater resources in the area are expected to be associated with two (2) primary forms of aquifer systems:

- Shallow unconfined systems hosted in unconsolidated alluvium and colluvium deposits, generally less than 10 m in depth with low to moderate yields, and variably salinity. These shallow systems are strongly controlled by rainfall recharge and are not considered a reliable source of long-term water supply. Flow regimes are expected to mimic the topographic gradient pending any structural or geological barriers; and
- Deep fractured rock and dual porosity aquifers hosted by the underlying rock sequences. Typically deeper than 40 m with variable yields and water quality controlled by geological faults, fractures, and joints from local and district faulting. Enhanced hydraulic conductivity is largely dependent on the scale and density of primary (void space between sediments grains) and secondary (fractures) porosity.

A review of *NOW* registered bore records was conducted prior to drilling to develop a conceptual model of regional groundwater conditions, including aquifer depths, yields, and water quality. The

Source: NSW Government. Yass 1:100,000 Geological Series Sheet 8628.



search did not identify any registered bores within a one (1) kilometre radius of the site (**Figure 3**) which is expected to be well beyond the maximum radius of any potential drawdown due to the low proposed pumping rate at the Site. The bore search area was expanded to include bores within a 5 km radius of the Site to assess groundwater conditions in other bores within the area. A summary of the available hydrogeological records is summarised below in **Table 3**.

- Bore depths in the area ranged between 19.5 to 90 metres,;
- Yields ranged from 0.18-0.95 L/s;
- Standing Water Levels ranged from 3-14m below ground level (mbgl) and
- > Host units are listed as both volcanic and igneous rocks.

				-					
Bore ID	Lat.	Long.	Bore Depth (m)	Yield L/s	SWL (mbgl)	Aquifer	Geology	Drilled Date	Use
GW416182	-	-	54	0.18	11	18-20, 46-48	0-36 shale, 36-54 volcanics	18/03/2013	S&D
GW061248	-34.7652	148.8065	41.1	0.2	3	16.7-18.2	Shale	1/05/1985	N/A
GW014383	-34.7557	148.7695	25	0.51	13.4	15-25	Granite	1/01/1958	S&D
GW020967	-34.7334	148.8087	37.3	0.59	-	26-37	Porphyry	1/08/1953	N/A
GW016395	-34.7093	148.8093	19.5	0.63	4	14.6-19.5	Porphyry	1/07/1957	S&D
GW031388	-34.7512	148.7606	27.4	0.95	-	18-18.3	Shale	1/01/1967	Unknown
GW409412	-34.7505	148.7663	250	2.13	20	22-39, 96-99	0-60 shale 60-250 granite	15/01/2010	N/A
GW045239	-34.7546	148.7737	34.1	-	14	29-34	Shale	1/04/1976	Monitoring
GW415395	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	4/07/1905	Domestic

Table 3: Registered Bores within 5 km of the Site

Note: S&D = Stock and Domestic





Figure 3: Registered bore locations (Water NSW online database)

3.0 TEST DRILLING

3.1 TEST BORE LICENCE

Prior to commencement ENRS submitted applications for test drilling and borehole construction with NOW. A Test Bore Licence was issued by NOW under Section 115 of the Water Act 1912. A summary of the test bore license is provided in **Table 4** below:

Licence	Purpose	Lot/DP	Issued	Valid	Number of Bores
40BL192710	Test Bore	263//753596 128//753596 18//246891 172//649063 107//753596 16//246891 262//753596	20/3/2018	Perpetuity	8x Test Bores

Table 4: Test Bore Licence Summary



3.2 SELECTION OF DRILLING TARGETS

Drilling targets were selected following a desktop review of the hydrogeological setting with consideration of the following:

- > Test bore licence terms and conditions;
- Land tenure and property boundary;
- > Proximity to geological lineaments and potential groundwater controls;
 - 40 m from high bank (blue line on topographic maps);
 - 400 m from another WAL bore (irrigation/commercial pumping bore);
 - 200 m from a Basic Landholder Right (BLR/stock & domestic) bore;
 - 200 m from property boundary (or letter of non-objection from neighbouring landowners);
 - 500 m from Town Water Supply (TWS) Bores;
 - 400 m from NOW government monitoring bores; and
 - 250 m from effluent disposal area (or up/across gradient supported by Hydro Assessment).
- The distribution of alluvial deposits where additional surface casing is required to stabilise the borehole during drilling;
- > Suitable distances between boreholes to minimise potential pumping interference;
- Suitable distance setback from potential sources of contamination including any dangerous goods storage and effluent disposal areas; and
- > Drilling rig access, and proximity to services, namely power and existing reticulation pipelines;

Three (3) test bore targets were identified as Sites A, B, and C. The primary area of interest was positioned adjacent potential geological lineaments inferred from site topography. The location of test bore targets is shown in **Figure 4**.





Figure 4: Test Bore Targets

3.3 AIRLIFT TEST RESULTS

The bore was subject to minimum three (3) hour airlift test at completion of drilling. The flow rate during air lifting was recorded by the driller and documented on the Form A construction log.

Bore 1 (Site A) was airlift tested for three (3) hours at an average rate of 1.7 L/s. The bore sustained a constant flow throughout the duration of the airlift and no significant variation in water quality (pH and EC) was observed.

3.4 DRILLING RESULTS

Test drilling encountered two (2) metres of shallow soil and clay overlying volcanics. As sufficient flow was intercepted during the first test bore to warrant construction no further bores were drilled. A summary of the drilling results is provided below in **Table 5**:

Table 5: Test Bore Drilling Results						
Site	E (MGA)	N (MGA)	Depth	Result	Status	
TB1	664195	6151138	120m	50-52m 0.21 L/s 112-115m 1.47 L/s	Site 'A' constructed as Pumping Bore 1	

Table 5:Test Bore Drilling Results



4.0 BORE CONSTRUCTION

Drilling and borehole construction was conducted by *Bungendore Water Bores* during April 2018 in accordance with the minimum construction requirements for water bores in Australia (3rd ed. 2012). Details of the bore construction are documented in the 'Form A' reports contained in **Appendix A** with a summary provided in **Table 6** below.

- The bore was constructed with 48 metres of *rigid surface casing* sealed with expanding clay to prevent connection with surface water and shallow aquifers; and
- The bore casing extends above the surface and *fitted with a sealed cap* to prevent ingress from any flood water or surface water.

	Table 0. Bore construction outliniary
Bore ID	Production Bore 1 (TB1)
Easting (MGA 56)	664195
Northing (MGA)	6151138
Drilled Depth (m)	120
Final Depth (m)	120
SWL (metres below ground level - mbgl)	0.5 mbgl (10/4/2018)
Casing (150mm Cl 9)	0-120 m
Slots (150mm Cl 9)	48-54 m 108-120 m
Clay Seal	0-5.0 m
Gravel pack (4-6mm)	5.0-120m
TDS Lab	392 mg/L
EC Lab	602 µS/cm
pH Lab	8.17 pH

Table 6: Bore Construction Summary

5.0 AQUIFER TESTING

5.1 PUMP TEST METHODOLOGY

Pumping test design and methodology was developed and conducted in accordance with the Australian Standard for Test Pumping of Water Wells (AS 2368-1990). The pumping rate, duration and depth setting was selected following detailed review of the hydrogeological conditions including depth of target aquifers, aquifer type, available drawdown, and bore construction. Testing was conducted using a submersible pump. Water level data was collected in the pumping bore both manually and automatically with a submersible water level data logger programmed to record water levels at 10 minute intervals.



5.2 PUMP TEST RESULTS

A summary of the drawdown and recovery test results is provided in **Table 7**. Drawdown and recovery data was tabulated and plotted to produce aquifer response curves. The drawdown and recovery curves (refer to **Appendix B**) demonstrate high quality aquifer response data to support graphical analysis of aquifer properties.

No monitoring bores were constructed at the site during this assessment. Monitoring was conducted in the pumping bore. Aquifer response data was analysed in Aqtesolv software applying Cooper-Jacob and Theis straight line methods. Transmissivity (T) values for late drawdown data report values between $3.99 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$ and $5.92 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$. Whilst the recovery data reports T values between $6.75 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$ and $7.46 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$. From these reported values the Median T is $6.335 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$.

The drilling and aquifer test results indicate the subject bore is capable of sustaining discharge rates of 1.5 L/s supported by equipping the bore with cut out transducers to prevent drawdown below the available limit at 108 mbgl. Based on the test results it is recommended to operate the bore under the following pumping regime:

Bore 1 - up to 1.5 L/s; 10 hrs Pumping; 14 hrs Recovery; 20 Mega Litres (ML) per year at 50 % pumping duty. A higher pumping rate and duty may be realised supported by monitoring of pumping performance and recovery rates.

	wit and Recovery Test Results
Bore ID	Bore 1
Test Date	3 / 12 / 2018
Drawdown Test Duration (hrs)	2 Day (48 Hours)
Recovery Test Duration (hrs)	1 Day (26 Hours)
Pump Test Flow Rate (Litres per second)	1.15 L/s
Static Water Level (SWL) (mbgl)	2.5 (pump test)
Aquifers m-m~L/s (reported by driller)	50-52 m ~0.21 L/s 112-115 m ~1.47 L/s
Indicative Yield (driller L/s)	1.7 L/s sustained during 3 hour airlift
Available Drawdown	108 mbgl to top of the slotted casing at the primary aquifer zone.
Final Drawdown during testing	21.28 mbgl (18.78 mbSWL)
Transmissivity (m²/day)	~6.335 m²/day
Recommended discharge rate (L/s)	~1.15 L/s (or Up to 1.5 L/s)
Recommended pump setting (mbgl)	Max depth 108m with shroud
Recommended pumping schedule (hrs on – hrs off)	16hr On – 8hr Off
Pump Duty %	66 %
Capable Annual Yield (ML)	24 ML per year

Table 7: Summary of Drawdown and Recovery Test Results

Bore 1 was pump tested for 48 hours at a rate of 1.15 L/s with a maximum drawdown to 21.28 mbgl (or 18.78 mbSWL). The drawdown curve indicates a relatively steady drawdown rate with no significant barrier or boundary effects. The driller's aquifer intercepts and pump test results indicate the available drawdown is to a depth of 108 mbgl to the top of the slotted casing at the primary



aquifer zone. Initial water level recovery at completion of pumping is noted to be rapid becoming gradual. The bore recovered rapidly to within 20 cm of the initial SWL after 24 hours of ceasing to pump. Groundwater yields are supported by the intersection of dual porosity regional scale aquifers supported by an extensive recharge area.

The drilling and aquifer test results indicate the subject bore is capable of sustaining discharge rates greater than 1.15 L/s. It is recommended to operate the bore under the following pumping regime:

Bore 1 – pumping at 1.15L/s; 16 hours on followed by 8 hours recovery; 24 ML per year at 66 % duty.

5.3 CAPABLE YIELD

Groundwater investigations comprised drilling of one (1) test bore culminating in the construction of one (1) production bore. The bore has intersected deep fractured rock aquifers supported by an extensive recharge area and no competing registered groundwater users. Based on the drillers airlift results the bore has a **capable yield of 24 ML** per annum operating at 66 per cent duty. The pumping yield of 1.15 L/s exceeds the estimated peak daily demand for the Site of approximately 30,000 Litres per day (or up to 11 ML per year).

It should be noted that the indicated groundwater extraction regimes are based on the aquifer response during a 48 hour period of testing and simulation stress. Groundwater extraction should be supported by appropriate groundwater management practices including regular water level monitoring. Bore performance may alter as a result of structural and chemical changes within the bore or due to variations in aquifer recharge, namely extended droughts or reductions in recharge from rainfall. It is recommended that groundwater bores be pumped on a cyclic pump duty such as (16 hours on and 8 hours off) to provide for sufficient water level recovery between pumping cycles and ensure the long-term sustainability of the groundwater resources. The Bore should also be equipped with cut-out probes to prevent dewatering below the primary aquifer.

6.0 WATER QUALITY

Water samples were collected after 48 hour pumping and submitted to a NATA accredited laboratory for analysis. The reader is referred to **Appendix C** for copies of Laboratory Certificates of Analysis (COA). The following section summarises the key water quality results. In general, the results report concentrations of key analytes within the criteria set by the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (2016). Minor levels of iron and manganese are expected to decrease during long term pumping as residual sediments from drilling reduce. These minerals may also be managed through primary treatment and storage:

6.1 POTENTIAL HYDROGEN

pH is a measure of hydrogen activity. pH determines the balance between positive hydrogen ions (H+) and negative hydroxyl ions (OH-) and provides a test of water acidity (low pH) or alkalinity (high pH). Most natural freshwaters have a pH in the range 6.5 to 8.0. *pH in the bore was reported at* **7.57 (B1)** which is within the Australian Drinking Water (ADWG;2011) guideline of 6.5-8.5 pH.



6.2 SALINITY

Salinity reported as TDS is within the ADWG aesthetic criteria which is suitable for the proposed use (industrial, commercial and domestic). The ADWG note that typical values for TDS in regional water supplies can be up to 1,000 mg/L or higher due to groundwater characteristics. A summary of the salinity guidelines for palatability is provided in Table 8.

Table 0. Mater Calinity Onitaria

	Table 8: Water 3	Salinity Criteria
	TDS Criteria (ADWG;2016)	Palatability
Bore ID	0 - 600 600 - 900 900 - 1200 >1200	Good Fair Poor Unacceptable
Bore 1	404 mg/L (600 uS/cm)	Good

6.3 METALS AND METALLOIDS

Water samples were analysed for a suite of sixteen (16) selected heavy metals and metalloids including:

- \succ Aluminium (AI);
- Arsenic (As); \geq
- \geq Boron (B);

and filtration.

- Barium (Ba); \succ
- \triangleright Cadmium (Cd);

- Total Chromium (T.Cr.) \geq Copper (Cu); \triangleright
- Iron (Fe); \geq
- Lead (Pb); \geq
- Magnesium (Mg); \triangleright
 - Manganese (Mn);

 \geq Calcium (Ca); \geq Analysis for Heavy Metals and Metalloids generally reported concentrations below the limits stipulated in the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG 2016). These results are considered satisfactory. Minor concentrations of total Iron were detected with 0.33 mg/L which is slightly above the aesthetic criteria of 0.3 mg/L. Similar levels of manganese were reported with 0.591 mg/L. Table 10.6 of the ADWG (2016) documents that Manganese occurs naturally in water and is higher in oxygen-depleted water (e.g. groundwater at bottom of deep storages). Section 8.3.5 of the ADWG (2016) outlines treatment options for iron and manganese by oxidation, through chlorination which enables ready removal by coagulation, flocculation and filtration. The reported concentrations of iron and manganese are not expected to limit site operations and may be managed by treatment

7.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

7.1 DISTANCE DRAWDOWN

A search of the NOW groundwater database did not identify any licensed water bores within one (1) kilometre of the assessment site which indicates there is no existing users in proximity to the site.

- Mercury (Hg); \geq
- Nickel (Ni); \triangleright
- Silver (Ag); and \geq
- \triangleright Zinc (Zn).



Given the significant distance from registered bores and minor drawdown in the bore after continuous pumping for 48 hours it is assessed that there is a low risk for distance drawdown impacts on existing groundwater users in the area. No further impact assessment or calculations are considered necessary.

7.2 GROUNDWATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS (GDE)

Review of the property and surrounding landuse indicates that the site is located within the Bowning Creek sub catchment area. It was identified that there is potential for shallow colluvium aquifers to interact with intermittent streams recorded on the topographic map sheet. An online search of the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) GDE atlas was conducted to identify any potential sensitive ecosystems within 5 km of the Bore. Information held in the GDE atlas includes:

- The supplied ecosystem type;
- The GDE potential, which is a measure of the assessed dependence potential of the ecosystem on groundwater resources; and
- The Inflow Dependent Ecosystem (IDE) likelihood, which is a measure of the likelihood of the landscape accessing water in addition to rainfall.

A summary of identified potential ecosystems within 5km of the bore is presented below in Table 9.

Distance from Bore Site	Supplied Ecosystem Type	GDE Potential
600m	Northern slopes dry grass woodland	Low potential
3km	Western slopes herb/grass woodland	Moderate potential
4km to Bowning Creek	Western slopes herb/grass woodland	Moderate potential
riparian area		

Table 9: Identified Potential GDEs

Further review of the Site's hydrogeological setting including walkover and aerial imagery did not identify any GDE's at the Site. Furthermore, given the borehole has been constructed with solid casing to 48 metres and at least a five (5) metre clay sanitary seal to prevent inflow of shallow soil water, this assessment considers the bore to be adequately constructed so as not to be in direct hydraulic connection with any overlying shallow aquifer. Hence, the proposed pumping from the deep fractured rock aquifers is not assessed to present any measurable impact on Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDE's) in connection with the colluvial systems.



8.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings obtained during the scope of work the following conclusions and recommendations may be made:

- The subject bore was drilled and constructed by Bungendore Water Bores in April 2018. The bore was drilled to a depth of 120 m with 48 metres of solid surface casing and a 5 metre clay sanitary seal at the surface to prevent connection with any shallow soil water. The bore was completed with slotted PVC casing and gravel packed in general accordance with the Minimum Construction Requirements for Water Bores in Australia 3rd Edition (2012). The bore sustained a drillers air lift yield of 1.7 L/s for three (3) hours during test drilling;
- The bore was subjected to a 48 hour (Bore 1) drawdown and recovery pumping test. The results indicate the subject bore is capable of sustaining an allocation of 24ML under the following pumping regime:
 - Bore 1 up to 1.15 L/s; 16 hr Pumping; 8 hrs Recovery; 24 ML per year at 66 % duty. A higher pumping rate and operating duty may be realised supported by monitoring of pumping performance and recovery rates.
- The proposal is to convert the test bore to a production bore with a commercial, industrial and domestic groundwater access licence with an entitlement of 24 ML to facilitate the proposed development. The pumping yield of 1.15 L/s exceeds the estimated peak demand for the Site proposal of approximately 30,000 Litres per day (or up to 11 ML per year);
- Based on the capable yield the recommended pumping regime is considered low risk for groundwater impacts. Final drawdown in the bore was 21.28 mbgl which is significantly shallower than the available drawdown limit at a depth of 108 m below ground level at the top of the slotted casing and the primary aquifer zone. No registered water bores are present within a 1 km radius of the site and similarly no GDEs were identified at the Site area. Hence, it is assessed that under the proposed operating regime the bore will have no significant measurable impact on the groundwater environment and existing users in the area;
- NATA certificated laboratory results report water quality is generally within the criteria outlined by the ADWG (2016). Minor levels of iron and manganese are expected to reduce with long-term pumping as drilling sediment decrease, and any residual levels may be managed through primary treatment systems; and
- > It is recommended that this report be submitted for final endorsement by the regulator.



9.0 **REFERENCES**

- Cooper H.H. and Jacob C.E. 1946. A Generalised Graphical Method for Evaluating Constants and Summarising Field History. Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union. Vol. 27, pp.526
- Australian Government National Water Commission (2012). Minimum Construction Requirements for Water Bores in Australia (third Edition).
- Australian Government, 2016. Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 6. National Water Quality Management Strategy. Natural Resource Management Ministerial Council. National Health and Medical Research Council.
- Australian Standard AS 2368--1990 Test Pumping of Water Wells
- Murray-Darling Basin Commission 1997, Murray-Darling Basin Groundwater Quality Sampling Guidelines, Technical Report No. 3, MDBC Groundwater Working Group, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Standards Australia 1998a, AS/NZS 5667.1:1998 Water quality sampling guidance on the design of sampling programs, sampling techniques and the preservation and handling of samples
- Standards Australia, New South Wales. 1998b, AS/NZS 5667.11:1998 Water Quality Sampling Guidance on Sampling of Groundwaters, Standards Australia, New South Wales.



10.0 REFERENCES

Air-lift yield: a yield obtained using compressed air (via a compressor normally coupled to a drilling rig) to lift water flowing into a well to the surface, to enable measurement of its flow.

Alluvium: Sediment (gravel, sand, silt, clay) transported by water (i.e. deposits in a stream channel or floodplain).

Anisotropy: The condition of a porous medium where the permeability is different in different directions.

Aquiclude: A low-permeability unit that forms either the upper or lower boundary of a groundwater flow system.

Aquifer: Rock or sediment in a formation, group of formations, or part of a formation which is saturated and sufficiently permeable able to transmit quantities of water to wells and springs. An aquifer may be porous rock, unconsolidated gravel, fractured rock, or cavernous limestone.

Aquitard: A low-permeability unit than can store ground water and also transmit it slowly from one aquifer to another.

Beneficial Use: general categorization of groundwater uses based on water quality and the presence or absence of contaminants. Beneficial use is the equivalent to the environmental value of water.

Bore: A deep hole of small diameter bored into the aquifer, through which water equilibrates and may rise under hydrostatic pressure.

Colluvium: Sediment (gravel, sand, silt, clay) transported by gravity (i.e. deposits at the base of a slope).

Cone of Depression: The depression in the water table around a well or excavation defining the area of influence of the well. Also known as cone of influence.

Confined Aquifer: an aquifer that is overlain by a confining bed. The confining bed has a significantly lower hydraulic conductivity than the aquifer.

Drawdown: A lowering of the water table of an unconfined aquifer or the potentiometric surface of a confined aquifer caused by pumping of ground water from wells or excavations.

Dual porosity aquifer: upon pumping releases water from both intergranular pores and fractures

Environmental flow: A water regime provided within a river, wetland or estuary to improve or maintain ecosystems and their benefits where there are competing water uses and where flows are regulated.

Groundwater Dependant Ecosystems (GDE): GDEs are ecosystems that use groundwater as part of survival, and can potentially include wetlands, vegetation, mound springs, river base flows, cave ecosystems, playa lakes and saline discharges, springs, mangroves, river pools, billabongs and hanging swamps. The groundwater dependence of ecosystems will range from complete reliance to those that partially rely on groundwater, such as during droughts.

Groundwater recharge: Inflow of water to an aquifer from the surface. Infiltration of precipitation and its movement to the water table is one form of natural recharge.

Hanging swamps: ancient freshwater wetlands often rich in biodiversity. The soil structure is fragile generally with low fertility and a loose structure that is highly susceptible to erosion and fire. Hanging Swamps rarely show surface water, but large amounts of water are often held in



the soil beneath the surface, filtering through the swamp and flowing into the downstream creek. The Hanging swamps in the Hawkesbury-Nepean catchment have been identified as an Endangered Ecological Community under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act).

Hydraulic Conductivity The ease with which a fluid will flow through a porous medium. It is a function of the pore size and fluid properties of viscosity and density.

Hydraulic gradient: The hydraulic gradient is a vector gradient between two or more hydraulic head measurements over the length of the flow path. The distribution of hydraulic head through an aquifer determines where groundwater will flow.

Hydrogeology: The branch of geology that deals with the occurrence, distribution, and effect of groundwater.

Igneous intrusions: Igneous intrusions are rocks which form when magma cools and solidifies before it reaches the earth's surface. Three common types of intrusion are sills, dykes, and batholiths.

Infiltration: The flow of water downward from the land surface into and through the upper soil layers.

Losing Stream A stream in which the water surface is lower than the adjacent water table, thus causing water to flow from the groundwater system into the stream.

Packer test: An aquifer test performed in an open borehole; the segment of the borehole to be tested is sealed off from the rest of the borehole by inflating seals, called packers, both above and below the segment.

Perched aquifer: A region in the unsaturated zone where the soil or rock may be locally saturated because it overlies a low-permeability unit.

Permeability The property of a porous medium to transmit water. It is a function of pore diameter.

Piezometer: A non-pumping well, generally of small diameter, that is used to measure the elevation of the water-table or potentiometric surface. A piezometer generally has a short well screen through which water can enter.

Porosity: ratio of pore spaces and voids to that of solid aquifer matrix.

Potentiometric surface: a pressure head surface commonly, but sometimes incorrectly referred to as the water table. The movement of groundwater is largely driven by elevation (pressure head). Aka as piezometric head – a piezometer being a special bore that monitors pressure head.

Primary Porosity The porosity characteristic of a rock or soil when first formed.

Pumping Test: A test made by pumping a well for a period of time and observing the response/change in hydraulic head in the aquifer.

Secondary Porosity The porosity that is added to a rock or soil after formation; it can include faults, fissures, fractures, etc.

Semi-Confined Aquifer An aquifer overlain or underlain by a semi-permeable aquitard which will allow limited flow of water to pass through.

Slug Test: A test made by the instantaneous addition, or removal, of a known volume of water to or from a well. The subsequent well recovery is measured.



Specific yield: effective or drainable porosity – a measure of the ratio of groundwater that may be extracted from an aquifer relative to the solid matrix.

Spring: a location where groundwater naturally emerges from the earth's subsurface in a seep or defined flow. Occurs when the water table is higher than the ground surface. Pressure head forces the water out of the land at a weak point which creates the spring. The existence of a spring requires that below the subsurface, the infiltrating water encounters a low-permeability zone and is unable to continue to percolate downward as fast as it is supplied at the surface. As a result, the water spreads laterally until it intersects the land surface where erosion has lowered the topography to the water's level (e.g., on the side of a gully, hill or valley). For many people, springs are the most obvious and interesting evidence of groundwater.

Standing Water Level: Depth to groundwater (m) below a datum point or reference point, usually from the top of casing or natural surface.

Storativity: The volume of water an aquifer releases from or takes into storage per unit surface area of the aquifer, per unit change in head.

Sustainable yield: volume of groundwater that may be abstracted from an aquifer without detrimentally affecting existing supplies or flows / fluxes to the environment including groundwater dependent environments. The estimation of sustainable yield takes into account rainfall amount, recharge as a proportion of rainfall and environmental water requirements (environmental flows to creeks as 'baseflow' and groundwater dependent ecosystems).

Transmissivity: A measure of the rate at which water moves through an aquifer of unit width under a unit hydraulic gradient.

Unconfined Aquifer: An aquifer in which there are no confining beds between the saturated zone and the surface. There will be a water-table in an unconfined aquifer.

Unsaturated zone: The zone between the land surface and the water table. It includes the root zone, intermediate zone, and capillary fringe. The pore spaces contain water at less than atmospheric pressure, as well as air and other gases. Saturated bodies, such as perched ground water, may exist in the unsaturated zone. Also called zone of aeration and vadose zone.

Water budget: An evaluation of all the sources of supply and the corresponding discharges with respect to an aquifer or a drainage basin.





LIMITATIONS

This report and the associated services performed by ENRS are in accordance with the scope of services set out in the contract between ENRS and the Client. The scope of services was defined by the requests of the Client, by the time and budgetary constraints imposed by the Client, and by the availability of access to Site.

ENRS derived the data in this report primarily from visual inspections, and, limited sample collection and analysis made on the dates indicated. In preparing this report, ENRS has relied upon, and presumed accurate, certain information provided by government authorities, the Client and others identified herein. The report has been prepared on the basis that while ENRS believes all the information in it is deemed reliable and accurate at the time of preparing the report, it does not warrant its accuracy or completeness and to the full extent allowed by law excludes liability in contract, tort or otherwise, for any loss or damage sustained by the Client arising from or in connection with the supply or use of the whole or any part of the information in the report through any cause whatsoever.

Limitations also apply to analytical methods used in the identification of substances (or parameters). These limitations may be due to non-homogenous material being sampled (i.e. the sample to be analysed may not be representative), low concentrations, the presence of 'masking' agents and the restrictions of the approved analytical technique. As such, non-statistically significant sampling results can only be interpreted as 'indicative' and not used for quantitative assessments.

The data, findings, observations, conclusions and recommendations in the report are based solely upon the state of Site at the time of the investigation. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events (e.g. changes in legislation, scientific knowledge, land uses, etc) may render the report inaccurate. In those circumstances, ENRS shall not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the contents of the report.

This report has been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the Client, and is subject to and issued in connection with the provisions of the agreement between ENRS and the Client. ENRS accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever and expressly disclaims any responsibility for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report by any third party or parties.

It is the responsibility of the Client to accept if the Client so chooses any recommendations contained within and implement them in an appropriate, suitable and timely manner.

Appendix A

Form A Drillers Logs

I uge

Page 1 27782 Hume Hwy Bowning Supply Bore NSW DEPARTMENT OF WATER & ENERGY															
NSW [FORM A PARTICULARS OF COMPLETED WORK												
Driller's		1		licence N			BL 40			´10	2				
Class of Licence: 4								of Licens	ee:		e Lawre				
Driller's Name: Danny Hill								ed Use:			stic Su	pply	/		
Assistar	nt Driller:	Ge	rry Hill				Comple	etion Dat	e:	Apr-18	3				
Contract	tor:	Bunge	endore	Water	Bores			ING DE		S	Hala			Drilling	3
							From To Hole				Drilling				
New bore X Replacement bore						\dashv					Diameter			Method	
	Deepened Enlarged						(m)		(m)		(mm)			Code	
Recondi	itioned		Other (s	specify)			0		120)	200			5	
Final Depth 120.0 m															
WATER BEARING ZONES 4															
Estimated Yield Test DDL Duration Salini							Salinity								
From	То	Thickness	SWL		(L/s)		method	at end of	test		-	(C	ondu	ctivity or T	īDS)
(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	Individ	ual Cun	nulative		(m)		Hrs	min	Co	ond.	TDS	3
			0.5	Aquif			Code					(µS	/cm)	(mg/l	_)
50	52	2	0.5	0.21).21	1						00		
112	115	3		1.26		.47	1					0	02		
CASIN															5
Material		Wall	From	То	Method		acina cu	pport m	otho	d	Co	do [2	
Material	00	Thickness	FIOIII	10	Fixing		asing su	ppor m	etho	u	0	ue		2	_
Code	(mm)	(mm)	(m)	(m)	Code	Т	vpe of c	asing bo	ottom	ı	Co	de [2	٦
5	150	()	0	120	1		lisers inst		No	Yes			licate	e on sket	ch)
	100		Ű	120					X	Yes	From			To	
							installed				-				m
							re cemen		Χ	Yes	From			То	m
						Casinę	g Protect	or cemer	nted	in place	No		Yes		
WATE	R ENTR	Y DESIGN							n						6
			General	1	1			Screen			Slot	Deta			
Material	OD	Wall Thickness	From	То	Openi type	-	xing A	perture	L	ength	Width	٦	1	Alignmen	ıt
Code	(mm)	(mm)	(m)	(m)	Code		ode	(mm)		(mm)	(mm))		Code	
5	150		48	54	5		1	<		` ,		,		V	
5	150		108	120	5		1							V	
-	EL PAC	ĸ			_			1							7
	Туре		Grade		G	Grain siz (mm)	ze			epth m)			Qua	antity	
	From To From To Litres or m ³														
Rounde	d 🗙	Graded		X	4		6	5		12	0				
Crushec	ц []	Ungrade	ed												
Bentonit Method		seal No ment of Grav		Yes		ode	1	0		5					
			ei rauk						I						
⊢or D	For DWE use only:														

NSW DEPARTMENT OF WATER & ENERGY

 Page 2
 27782 Hume Hwy Bowning Supply Bore

FORM A PARTICULARS OF COMPLETED WORK

Natural Resource Products

						Work L	icence N	o: <u>40</u> B	L 40BL1	92710
				BOF	RE DEVE	OPMENT				8
Chemical	used for bre	aking dow	n drilling m	ud No	X	Yes	Name:			
Method	Bailing/Surg	ging 🗌	Jetting	Airlift	ing 🚺	Backwashing	- 🗌 F	Pumping	Other:	
Duration	_	hrs	hr	s	3 hrs		hrs	hr	s	hrs
			D	ISINFEC	TION ON	COMPLETIC	N			9
	Chemic	al/s used		Qı	uantity app	lied (litres)		Method of	application	
PUMPING TESTS ON COMPLETION 10										
			Pump	Initial		Water Level			Pacovary	
٦	Fest	Date	intake	Water	Pumping	at end of	Duration	۱	Recovery	
t	ype		depth	Level	rate	pumping	of Test	Water	Time	taken
				(SWL)		(DDL)		level		
			(m)	(m)	(L/s)	(m)	(hrs)	(m)	(hrs)	(mins)
Multi atogo	Stage 1 Stage 2		_							
Multi stage (stepped	Stage 2 Stage 3									
drawdown										
Single stag										
(constant r	ate)									
Height of r	neasuring p	oint above	ground le	vel		Test Method	Code		See Code	Table 4
			WORK P	ARTLY	BACKFIL	LED OR AB	NDONE	D		11
Original de	epth of work	:	metres		ls	work partly ba	ackfilled:	No x	Yes	
Is work ab	andoned: I	Νο χΥε	es 📃 M	ethod of	abandonn	nent: Backfille	ed	Plugged	Cap	bed
Has any ca	asing been l	eft in the v	vork No	b 🗌	Yes	From	n	n To	m	
Sealing	/ fill type	From d	epth	To de	pth	Sealing / fill ty	/pe	From depth	То	depth
Co	ode	(m))	(m)	Code		(m)		(m)
Site chosen	ı by: Hydrog	geologist	X Geol	ogist	Driller	Diviner	Clie	ent O	her	12
Lot No	16	DF	P No	2468	91	_				13
Work Loc	ation Co or	dinates	Easting	6	64195	Northing	6151 ⁻	138	Zone	55H
GPS:	No	Yes	x	>> Al	MG/AGD	or	MGA/GD	ΑΧ	(See expla	anation)
Please	mark the wo	ork site wit	h "X" on t	he DWE	CLID map).				
Indicate	also the dis	stances in	metres fro	m two (2) adjacent	boundaries, a	nd attach	the map to t	his Form A	package.
					Signatu	ires:				
Driller:	Danny Hill	(on behalf	of applicar	1	Licen	see: <u>wr</u>	Lar	ene		

		Page 3	27782 Hume Hwy Boy	wning Supply B	sore
NSW DEP	ARTMEN	T OF WATER & ENERGY	FORM A		
	_		PARTICULARS		
Natural Re	source P	roducts			
				b: <u>4</u> 0 BL 40	
DRI	LLER'S	ROCK/STRATA DESCRIPTION	I (LITHOLOGY)		15
Dep	oth			WORK CC	ONSTRUCTION
From	То	Descriptio	on	SI	КЕТСН
(m)	(m)				
0	2	Soil, brow clay			
2	33	Light brown volcanics			
33	56	Grey brown volcanics		Clay 0-5m	6" Class 9 PVC
56	120	Black fractured volcanics		4-6mm	Casing 0-
30				Graded	120m
35	ļ			gravel pack 5-120m	
40	ļ				
45	ļ		!		6" Class 9 slotted PVC
50	 	_			48-54m
55	 	_			
60 65	 	<u> </u>			
65 70	 	<u> </u>			
70 75	 	<u></u>			
75 80					
80 85					
85 90		+			
90 95		+			
95 100		+			
105					
103					
115	<u> </u>	+			6" Class 9
110		+			slotted PVC 108-120m
		+			
	<u> </u>	+		∦-1	
		1		∦−1	
		1			
		WORK NOT CONST	RUCTED BY DRILLING	RIG	16
Method of exc	cavation:	Hand dug Back hoe Drag		Other	
Depth	Length	Width Diameter Lining		From Depth	To Depth
(m)	(m)	(m) (m) materia	al liner (m)	(m)	(m
		+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $-$	<u>/</u>	<u> </u>	+
		Please attach copies	of the following if avail	able	17
Geologist log	No	Yes Laboratory analysis of water Sar	mple No Yes X Pumpi	bing test(s) No	Yes
Geophysical log	og No X	Yes Sieve analysis of aquifer materia	al No 🗙 Yes 🗌 Installe	led Pump details No	Yes

Bowning Bore 1 – During Pump Test

664195E 6151138N

- The bore is constructed with 48 metres of *rigid surface casing* sealed with expanding clay to prevent connection with surface water and shallow aquifers; and
- The bore casing extends above the surface and is finished with a monument pad and *fitted with a sealed cap* to prevent ingress from any flood water or surface water.



Bore Location

Work Licence No: 40 BL: 40BL192710

> Lot 172 DP 649063

> >

-

the war

700 m

N

Google Earth

Imagery © Department Finance, Services and Innovation 2016 Data © Department Finance, Services and Innovation 2016

C. C. Street of the Street

Appendix B

Pump Test Results

			TABLE 1							
DRAWDOW	N ANALY	SIS DAT	4	PROJECT :		Bowning)			
SINGLE RA			CLIENT :							
Test date :	3/12/2018			BORE No #		- Dumnin/	a Poro #1			
						GoulPro	g Bore #1			
Start time :	12:00 PM 5/12/2018	10.00 DM		Tested by:		1.15L/s	a			
Pump Off: Casing I.D.:	5/12/2018 150 mm	12:30 PM		Av.Pump Rate (SWL (mbtoc):	L/Sec):					
Pump type/mod:				Ref. Point (m):		2.50 0.00				
Pump O.D.:	-			Kei. i olin (ili).		0.00				
Pump Intake m:	100m			Depth to water	Discharge	Time				
		Time	Drawdown	-	Rate	to fill	Comments /			
Real time	Hours	minutes	metres	ground level	L/sec	200L	Observations			
		T	S1	mbgl	L/SEC Q	Secs				
12:00 PM		0	0.00	2.50	-	-	= SWL			
		10	8.35	10.85	- 1	-				
		20	9.15	11.65	-	-	Note: Data recorded at			
		30	9.25	11.75	20.00	10.0	10 minute intervals			
		40	10.33	12.83	-	-	on Diver Logger			
		50	10.13	12.63	-	-				
1:00 PM	1	60	9.99	12.49	20.00	10.0				
		70	11.50	14.00	-	-				
		80	12.12	14.62	-	-				
		90	12.60	15.10	17.39	11.5				
2:00 PM	2	120	12.72	15.22	13.99	14.3				
		130	12.80	15.30	10.00	20.0				
2.00 DM	2	150	13.00	15.50	-	-				
3:00 PM	3	180 210	13.27 13.41	15.77 15.91	-	-				
4:00 PM	4	210	13.41	16.16	-	-				
4.00 FIM	4	240	13.82	16.32	-	-				
5:00 PM	5	300	13.31	15.81	_	_				
0.001 M		330	13.38	15.88	_	-				
6:00 PM	6	360	13.45	15.95	-	-				
	7	420	15.12	17.62	10.00	20.0				
	8	480	15.13	17.63	-	-				
9:00 PM	9	540	14.64	17.14	-	-				
	10	600	14.72	17.22	9.09	22.0				
	11	660	14.77	17.27	-	-				
	11	670	15.53	18.03	-	-				
12:00 AM	12	720	15.91	18.41	-	-				
	14	840	16.06	18.56	-	-				
0.00 414	16	960	16.14	18.64	-	-				
6:00 AM	18 20	1080	16.34	18.84	- 0.40	-				
8:00 AM	20	1200 1210	16.52 16.56	19.02 19.06	8.40	23.8 20.0				
	20.2	1210	16.56	19.06	10.00	20.0	+			
12:00 PM	20.3	1440	17.14	19.64	-	- 20.0				
12.001 101	24	1680	18.77	21.27	-	-				
	32	1920	18.18	20.68	-	-	1			
	36	2160	18.37	20.87	-	-				
	40	2390	18.65	21.15	-	-				
	44	2640	18.54	21.04	-	-	l .			
12:00 PM	48	2880	18.78	21.28	-	-				

			TABLE 2							
RECOVERY	ANALYSIS	S DATA		PROJECT :		Bowning				
SINGLE RAT	Е РИМР Т	EST		CLIENT :		-				
Test date : 3/12/2018				BORE No #		Pumping Bore	. #1			
Start time :	12:00 PM			Tested by:		GoulProd	πı			
Start time . Pump Off:	5/12/2018	12:30 PM		Av.Pump Rate (I	(600);	1.15L/s				
Casing I.D.:	150 mm	12.30 F W		SWL (mbtoc):	_/500).					
Pump type/mod:				Ref. Point (m):		2.5 0.00				
Pump O.D.:	-					0.00				
Pump Intake m:	100m									
	Minutes	Time s	ince	Depth to water		Residual	Comments /			
Real time	since pump	pump st	topped	metres below		Drawdown	Observations			
	Started	Hrs	Minutes	ground level	Ratio	metres				
	t		ť	mbgl	t/t'	S'				
12:30 PM	2910		0.1	21.25	29101	18.75	= depth to water at			
	2920		10	8.31	292	5.81	instant pump stopped			
	2930		20	7.09	147	4.59				
	2940		30	6.68	98.0	4.18				
	2950		40	6.39	73.8	3.89				
	2960		50	6.16	59.2	3.66				
1:30 PM	2970	1	60	5.94	49.5	3.44				
	2980		70	5.77	42.6	3.27				
	2990		80	5.61	37.4	3.11				
0.20 DM	3000	2	90 120	5.47	33.3 25.3	2.97 2.58				
2:30 PM	3030 3060	2	120	<u>5.08</u> 4.78	25.3	2.58				
3:30 PM	3090	3	130	4.78	17.2	2.03				
5.50 T M	3120	3	210	4.32	14.9	1.82				
4:30 PM	3150	4	240	4.15	13.1	1.65				
	3180	-	270	4.00	11.8	1.50				
5:30 PM	3210	5	300	3.87	10.7	1.37				
	3240		330	3.76	9.8	1.26				
6:30 PM	3270	6	360	3.67	9.1	1.17				
7:30 PM	3330	7	420	3.50	7.9	1.00				
8:30 PM	3390	8	480	3.38	7.1	0.88				
9:30 PM	3450	9	540	3.27	6.4	0.77				
10:30 PM	3510	10	600	3.19	5.9	0.69				
11:30 PM	3570	11	660	3.13	5.4	0.63				
12:30 AM	3630	12	720	3.05	5.0	0.55				
2:30 AM	3750	14	840	2.93	4.5	0.43				
4:30 AM 6:30 AM	3870 3990	16 18	960 1080	2.87 2.81	4.0 3.7	0.37				
8:30 AM	4110	20	1200	2.76	3.4	0.26				
12:30 PM	4350	20	1440	2.68	3.0	0.18	1			
2:30 PM	4470	26	1560	2.64	2.9	0.14				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Drawdown & Recovery Curves - Bowning Bore 1 Pumping at 1.15 for 48 Hours





Time-Drawdown & Recovery - Bowning Bore 1 Pumping at 1.15 L/sec for 48 Hours
Data Set: Date: 12/17/18 Time: 11:15:23

PROJECT INFORMATION

Company: ENRS Project: ENRS0978 Location: Bowning Test Date: 3/12/2018 Test Well: Bore 1

AQUIFER DATA

Saturated Thickness: 3. m Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): 1.

PUMPING WELL DATA

No. of pumping wells: 1

Pumping Well No. 1: Bore 1

X Location: 0. m Y Location: 0. m

Casing Radius: 0.075 m Well Radius: 0.11 m

Fully Penetrating Well

No. of pumping periods: 3

	Pumping P	eriod Data		
Time (min)	Rate (L/sec)	Time (min)	Rate (L/sec)	
1.	1.15	2881.	0.	
2880.	1.15			

OBSERVATION WELL DATA

No. of observation wells: 1

Observation Well No. 1: Bore 1

X Location: 0. m Y Location: 0. m

Radial distance from Bore 1: 0. m

Fully Penetrating Well

No. of Observations: 455

	Observatio	on Data	
Time (min)	Displacement (m)	Time (min)	Displacement (m)
10.	8.35	2290.	18.56
20.	9.145	2300.	18.53
20. 30.	9.253	2310.	18.52
40.	10.33	2320.	18.51
50.	10.13	2330.	18.64
60.	9.991	2340.	18.54
70.	11.5	2350.	18.57
80.	12.12	2360.	18.57
90.	12.6	2370.	18.63
100.	12.56	2380.	18.62
110.	12.59	2390.	18.65
120.	12.72	2400.	18.68

$\frac{\text{Time (min)}}{130.}$ $140.$ $150.$ $160.$ $170.$ $180.$ $190.$ $200.$ $210.$ $220.$ $230.$ $240.$ $250.$ $260.$ $270.$ $280.$ $290.$ $300.$ $310.$ $320.$ $30.$ $310.$ $320.$ $330.$ $340.$ $350.$ $360.$ $370.$ $380.$ $390.$ $400.$ $410.$ $420.$ $430.$ $440.$ $450.$ $460.$ $470.$ $480.$ $490.$ $500.$ $510.$ $520.$ $530.$ $540.$ $550.$ $560.$ $570.$ $580.$ $590.$ $600.$ $610.$ $620.$ $630.$ $640.$ $650.$ $660.$ $670.$ $680.$ $690.$ $700.$ $710.$ $720.$	$\begin{array}{r} \underline{\text{Displacement (m)}} \\ 12.8 \\ 12.93 \\ 13. \\ 13.09 \\ 13.19 \\ 13.27 \\ 13.31 \\ 13.4 \\ 13.41 \\ 13.56 \\ 13.63 \\ 13.63 \\ 13.66 \\ 13.71 \\ 13.8 \\ 13.82 \\ 13.42 \\ 13.31 \\ 13.31 \\ 13.31 \\ 13.31 \\ 13.34 \\ 13.38 \\ 13.41 \\ 13.4 \\ 13.45 \\ 13.47 \\ 13.5 \\ 13.55 \\ 13.55 \\ 13.56 \\ 15.12 \\ 15.95 \\ 16.39 \\ 16.51 \\ 16.61 \\ 16.58 \\ 15.13 \\ 14.82 \\ 14.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 14.65 \\ 14.64 \\ 14.67 \\ 14.67 \\ 14.67 \\ 14.68 \\ 14.75 \\ 14.68 \\ 14.75 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 14.76 \\ 14.77 \\ 15.53 \\ 15.99 \\ 15.9 \\ 1$	Time (min) 2410. 2420. 2430. 2440. 2450. 2460. 2470. 2480. 2490. 2500. 2510. 2520. 2530. 2550. 2550. 2560. 2570. 2580. 2590. 2600. 2610. 2620. 2630. 2640. 2620. 2630. 2640. 2650. 2660. 2670. 2680. 2690. 2770. 2780. 2770. 2780. 2770. 2780. 2790. 2790. 2800. 2790. 2790. 2800. 2810. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2820. 290. 290. 290. 2910. 2920. 2930. 2940. 2950. 2950. 2960. 2970. 2980. 2970. 2980. 2970. 2980. 2990. 3000.	$\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Displacement (m)}} \\ 18.64 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.66 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.69 \\ 18.66 \\ 18.67 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.64 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.54 \\ 18.51 \\ 18.56 \\ 18.56 \\ 18.56 \\ 18.56 \\ 18.51 \\ 18.51 \\ 18.51 \\ 18.51 \\ 18.55 \\ 18.52 \\ 18.55 \\ 18.58 \\ 18.55 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.62 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.63 \\ 18.67 \\ 18.7 \\ 18.71 \\ 18.78 \\ 18.85 \\$
670. 680. 690.	15.53 15.84	2950. 2960. 2970. 2980.	3.885 3.656 3.441 3.272 3.107 2.968 2.832 2.706 2.584 2.491 2.377 2.276

<u>Time (min)</u>	Displacement (m)	<u>Time (min)</u>	Displacement (m)
790.	15.98	3070.	2.201
800.	16.02	3080.	2.111
810.	16.03	3090.	2.029
820.	16.03	3100.	1.957
830.	16.05	3110.	1.893
840.	16.06	3120.	1.821
850.	16.08	3130.	1.749
860.	16.1	3140.	1.699
870.	16.11	3150.	1.646
880.	16.08	3160.	1.595
890.	16.07	3170.	1.545
900.	16.15	3180.	1.502
910.	16.09	3190.	1.452
920.	16.09	3200.	1.409
930.	16.14	3210.	1.37
940. 950. 960. 970.	16.15 16.14 16.14 16.14 16.18	3220. 3230. 3240. 3250.	1.327 1.298 1.262 1.233
980. 990. 1000. 1010.	16.16 16.18 16.21 16.2 16.2	3260. 3270. 3280. 3290.	1.205 1.173 1.14 1.101
1020. 1030. 1040. 1050. 1060.	16.24 16.25 16.27	3300. 3310. 3320. 3330. 3340.	1.069 1.051 1.029 0.9969 0.9754
1070. 1080. 1090. 1100.	16.27 16.31 16.34 16.38 16.42	3350. 3360. 3370. 3380.	0.9575 0.936 0.9145 0.893
1110.	16.38	3390.	0.8751
1120.	16.44	3400.	0.8644
1130.	16.44	3410.	0.8429
1140.	16.47	3420.	0.8321
1150.	16.44	3430.	0.8034
1160.	16.48	3440.	0.7927
1170.	16.47	3450.	0.7712
1180.	16.51	3460.	0.7569
1190.	16.49	3470.	0.7497
1200. 1210. 1220.	16.52 16.56 16.56 16.6	3480. 3490. 3500. 3510.	0.7282 0.7174 0.6995 0.6852
1230. 1240. 1250. 1260. 1270.	16.57 16.57 16.6 16.63	3520. 3530. 3540. 3550.	0.6673 0.6673 0.6494 0.6386
1280.	16.63	3560.	0.6243
1290.	16.62	3570.	0.6279
1300.	16.64	3580.	0.6064
1310.	16.71	3590.	0.6028
1320.	16.67	3600.	0.5849
1330.	17.	3610.	0.5669
1340.	17.02	3620.	0.5562
1350.	17.06	3630.	0.5526
1360.	17.01	3640.	0.5526
1370. 1380. 1390. 1400.	17.08 17.08 17.06 17.05	3650. 3660. 3670. 3680.	0.5311 0.5239 0.5204 0.5024 0.5024 0.5024
1410.	17.08	3690.	0.5024
1420.	17.11	3700.	0.4917
1430.	17.06	3710.	0.4809
1440.	17.14	3720.	0.4702

<u>Time (min)</u>	Displacement (m)	<u>Time (min)</u>	Displacement (m)
1450.	17.22	3730.	0.4523
1460. 1470.	17.22 17.17 17.14	3740. 3750.	0.4415 0.4308
1480.	17.14	3760.	0.4308
1490.	17.11	3770.	0.42
1500.	17.09	3780.	0.4093
1510.	17.13	3790.	0.4093
1520.	17.17	3800.	0.4093
1530. 1540.	17.17 17.16	3810. 3820. 3830.	0.3985 0.3878
1550.	17.13	3830.	0.3878
1560.	17.15	3840.	0.377
1570.	17.16	3850.	0.3806
1580.	17.16	3860.	0.3699
1590.	17.16	3870.	0.3699
1600.	18.17	3880.	0.3663
1610.	18.42	3890.	0.3591
1620.	18.44	3900.	0.3376
1630.	18.68	3910.	0.3448
1640.	18.93		0.3376
1650. 1660.	18.89 18.94	3920. 3930. 3940.	0.3376 0.3269
1670.	18.95	3950.	0.3161
1680.	18.77	3960.	0.3161
1690.	17.11	3970.	0.3161
1700.	16.7	3980.	0.3054
1710.	16.63	3990.	0.3054
1720.	16.55	4000.	0.2946
1730.	16.51	4010.	0.2946
1740.	17.44	4020.	0.2874
1750.	17.82	4030.	0.2874
1760.	17.99	4040.	0.2767
1770.	18.09	4050.	0.2839
1780.	18.08	4060.	0.2731
1790.	18.13	4070.	0.2839
1800.	18.14	4080.	0.2767
1810.	18.14	4090.	0.2552
1820.	18.18	4100.	0.2659
1830.	18.27	4110.	0.2624
1840.	18.28	4120.	0.2624
1850.	18.32	4130.	0.2552
1860.	18.31	4140.	0.2552
1870.	18.2	4150.	0.2444
1880.	18.18	4160.	0.248
1890.	18.17	4170.	0.2444
1900.	18.25	4180.	0.248
1910.	18.09	4190.	0.2373
1920. 1930.	18.18 18.14	4200. 4210.	0.2373 0.2337 0.2373
1940.	18.08	4220.	0.2265
1950.	18.01	4230.	0.2265
1960.	18.06	4240.	0.2265
1970.	18.14	4250.	0.2229
1980.	18.09	4260.	0.2229
1990. 2000.	18.16 18.2 18.26	4270. 4280. 4290.	0.2229 0.205 0.2122
2010. 2020. 2030.	18.17	4290. 4300. 4310.	0.2122
2040. 2050.	18.36 18.27 18.32	4320. 4330.	0.1943 0.2014 0.1943
2060.	18.18	4340.	0.1835
2070.	18.34	4350.	0.1835
2080.	18.26	4360.	0.1835
2000. 2090. 2100.	18.34 18.36	4300. 4370. 4380.	0.1799 0.1728

Time (min) 2110. 2120. 2130. 2140. 2150. 2160. 2170. 2180. 2190. 2200.	Displacement (m) 18.35 18.38 18.39 18.5 18.39 18.37 18.43 18.37 18.43 18.37 18.34 18.51 18.51	Time (min) 4390. 4400. 4410. 4420. 4430. 4440. 4450. 4460. 4460. 4470. 4480.	Displacement (m) 0.1728 0.1656 0.1656 0.162 0.1656 0.1656 0.1656 0.1549 0.1513 0.1441 0.1405
2210. 2220. 2230. 2240. 2250. 2260. 2270. 2280.	18.53 18.54 18.54 18.61 18.62 18.62 18.61 18.65	4490. 4500. 4510. 4520. 4530. 4540. 4550.	0.1334 0.1226 0.1298 0.1226 0.119 0.119 0.119 0.119

SOLUTION

Pumping Test Aquifer Model: Confined Solution Method: Cooper-Jacob

VISUAL ESTIMATION RESULTS

Estimated Parameters

Parameter T S	Estimate 6.07 0.002893	m ² /day
S	0.002893	m≁/day

K = T/b = 2.023 m/day (0.002342 cm/sec) Ss = S/b = 0.0009642 1/m









Appendix C

NATA Laboratory Certificates of Analysis (CoA)

	ment & Natu	ral Resou	rce S	Solutions	Chai	n of Cı	istoc	dy				S Ph. 00	NPA 4/13 Ceary F 2 4429 2093 Et no 1 JI ONGONIS 93 F	odpark Road Sinthfield NS rolot, sydnoy@alsglobal.co Rade North Nowra NSV/25 war@alsglobal.com Varing Street Wollongong W plongong@glaglobal.com	41
CLIENT:		ENRS P/L	TURNAROL	IND REQUIREMENTS :	X Standard TAT	(List due date);						FOR LABORAT			
OFFICE:	108 Jerry Bailey Rd Shoa	lhaven Heads	(Standard TAT Ultra Trace On	may be longer for some tests e.g.	_	andard or urgent TA	T (List due d	late):				Custody Seal Intac	t?	Yes	No N/A
PROJECT:	ENRSO	978- Bowning	ALS QUOT				-	COC SEQU	ENCE NUMB	ER (Circle)	Free ice / frozen ic receipt?	e bricks present	tupon Yes	No N/A
ORDER / PURCHASE NU	MBER:	ENRS0978	COUNTRY	OF ORIGIN: Aus	3		COC:	1 2	34	56	7	Random Sample T	emperature on I	Receipt:	°C
PROJECT MANAGER:	Rohan Last (ENRS)	CONTACT P	чн:	0401 518 443			OF:	1 2	34	56	7	Other comment:			
SAMPLER;	AB	SAMPLER N	IOBILE:		RELINQUISHED E	IY:	RECEI	IVED BY:			RELI	NQUISHED BY:		RECEIVED BY	ſ:
COC Emailed to ALS? (Yes / No)	EDD FORMA	AT (or default):	_		¥	2.0	fe						
Email Reports to (will del	ault to PM if no other addresses are I	listed): lab@	enrs.com.au			.7/12/2018	DATE/	TIME:			DATE	E/TIME:		DATE/TIME:	
Email Invoice to (will defi	ault to PM if no other addresses are li	sted): acco	unts@enrs.co	om.au	_		7	112	118		1				
COMMENTS/SPECIAL H	ANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSA	L:					1	• •						- I.,	
ALS USE ONLY	SAMPL Matrix:	E DETAILS Salid(\$) Water(W)		CONTAINER IN	FORMATION							t be listed to attract d (field fitered bottle req		Additional	Information
LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	DATE / TIME	MATRIX	TYPE & PRESERVATIVE	(ater to	DW3								Comments on ilkely co dilutions, or samples re analysis etc.	
	Bowning Bore 1	6/12/2018	Water	multiple bottle:	5	1								Not Filtered	
										1					
										1					
	· · · · ·														
								Wollo Wor	onmenta ngong k Order I W18	Referen	се				
		_													
										(° Mari					
										(MA					
			<u> </u>												
			-						n V, R	7.Fh2					
								Telephon	e : 02 42253	2106					
	Unpreserved Plestic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC =				TOTAL	1	0	(anabu)(II	C . UZ 47200	123		0			

	17/12/2018	Sample	ID 1	Bowning Bore 1		
			Project#			ENRS0978- Bowning
	Results		Date Sampled			7/12/2018
			Order #			EW1805133001
Analyte	CAS #	Units	LOR	Health	Aesthetic	Results
EA005P: pH by PC Titrator		pH Unit	0.01		65.05	7 57
pH Value EA010P: Conductivity by PC Titrator		ph Unit	0.01	-	6.5-8.5	7.57
Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C		μS/cm	1	_		600
		μ3/ cm	-			
EA015: Total Dissolved Solids dried at 180 \pm 5 °C						
Total Dissolved Solids @180°C		mg/L	10	-	600	404
EA041: Colour (True)						
Colour (True)		PCU	1	-	15	<1
pH Colour		pH Unit	0.01	-	-	7.49
EA045: Turbidity		NTU	0.1			
Turbidity EA065: Total Hardness as CaCO3		NTU	0.1	-	5	2
Total Hardness as CaCO3		mg/L	1	_		246
ED037P: Alkalinity by PC Titrator			1	-	-	240
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	71-52-3	mg/L	1	_		194
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	3812-32-6	mg/L	1	-	-	<1
Hydroxide Alkalinity as CaCO3	DMO-210-001	mg/L	1	-	-	<1
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3		mg/L	1	-	-	194
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by DA						
Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	mg/L	1	-	250	31
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser						
Chloride	16887-00-6	mg/L	1	-	250	28
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations						
Calcium	7440-70-2	mg/L	1	-	-	82
Magnesium	7439-95-4	mg/L	1	-	-	10
Potassium Sodium	7/09/7440		1	-	-	3 27
EG020T: Total Metals by ICP-MS	7440-23-5	mg/L	L	-	180	27
Aluminium	7429-90-5	mg/L	0.01		0.2	0.02
Antimony	7440-36-0	mg/L	0.001	0.003		<0.001
Arsenic	7440-38-2	mg/L	0.001	0.005		0.008
Barium	7440-39-3	mg/L	0.001	2	-	0.004
Boron	7440-42-8	mg/L	0.05	4	-	<0.05
Cadmium	7440-43-9	mg/L	0.0001	0.002	-	<0.0001
Chromium	7440-47-3	mg/L	0.001	0.05	-	< 0.001
Copper	7440-50-8	mg/L	0.001	2	1	<0.001
Iron	7439-89-6	mg/L	0.05	-	0.3	0.33
Lead	7439-92-1	mg/L	0.001	0.01	-	<0.001
Manganese	7439-96-5	mg/L	0.001	0.5	0.1	0.591
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	mg/L	0.001	0.05	-	<0.001
Nickel	7440-02-0	mg/L	0.001	0.02	-	<0.001
Selenium	7782-49-2	mg/L	0.01	0.01	-	<0.01
Silver	7440-22-4	mg/L	0.001	0.1	-	<0.001
Zinc	7440-66-6	mg/L	0.005	-	3	<0.005
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS		/:		0.001		
Mercury	7439-97-6	mg/L	0.0001	0.001	-	<0.0001
EK025G: Free cyanide by Discrete Analyser		mg/l	0.004	0.00		-0.004
Total Cyanide EK040P: Fluoride by PC Titrator	57-12-5	mg/L	0.004	0.08	-	<0.004
Fluoride	16984-48-8	mg/L	0.1	1.5		0.6
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser		1116/ L	0.1	1.J	-	0.0
Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	mg/L	0.01	-	0.5	0.01
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser		0,-	0.01		0.0	0.01
Nitrite as N	14797-65-0	mg/L	0.01	3	-	<0.01
EK058G: Nitrate as N by Discrete Analyser						1
Nitrate as N	14797-55-8	mg/L	0.01	50	-	1.2
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx) by						
Discrete Analyser						
Nitrite + Nitrate as N		mg/L	0.01	-	-	1.2
EK085M: Sulfide as S2-						
Sulfide as S2-	18496-25-8	mg/L	0.1	-	-	<0.1
EN055: Ionic Balance		%				7 45
Ionic Balance			0.01	-	-	7.45
Total Anions		meq/L	0.01	-	-	5.31
Total Cations		meq/L	0.01	-	-	6.17



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	: EW1805133	Page	: 1 of 4	
Client	ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCE SOLUTIONS	Laboratory	Environmental Division NSW South Coast	
Contact	: Mr Rohan Last	Contact	: Aneta Prosaroski	
Address	25 River Rd	Address	: 1/19 Ralph Black Dr, North Wollongong 2500	
	Shoalhaven Heads 2535		4/13 Geary PI, North Nowra 2541 Australia NSW Australia	
Telephone	:	Telephone	: +61 2 4225 3125	
Project	: ENRS0978- Bowning	Date Samples Received	: 07-Dec-2018 13:28	
Order number	: ENRS0978	Date Analysis Commenced	: 07-Dec-2018	\wedge
C-O-C number	:	Issue Date	: 17-Dec-2018 09:01	
Sampler	:		Hac-MRA NA	ATA
Site	:			
Quote number	: EN/222		Accreditation	ion No. 925
No. of samples received	: 1		Accredited for compli	iance with
No. of samples analysed	: 1		ISO/IEC 1702	5 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	Position	Accreditation Category
Ankit Joshi	Inorganic Chemist	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW
Celine Conceicao	Senior Spectroscopist	Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.

LOR = Limit of reporting

^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.

~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- Ionic Balance out of acceptable limits due to analytes not quantified in this report.
- Sodium Adsorption Ratio (where reported): Where results for Na, Ca or Mg are <LOR, a concentration at half the reported LOR is incorporated into the SAR calculation. This represents a conservative approach for Na relative to the assumption that <LOR = zero concentration and a conservative approach for Ca & Mg relative to the assumption that <LOR is equivalent to the LOR concentration.

Page : 3 of 4 Work Order : EW1805133 Client : ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCE SOLUTIONS Project : ENRS0978- Bowning



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)		Clie	ent sample ID	Bowning Bore 1	 	
	Ci	lient sampli	ng date / time	07-Dec-2018 00:00	 	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EW1805133-001	 	
				Result	 	
EA005P: pH by PC Titrator						
pH Value		0.01	pH Unit	7.57	 	
EA010P: Conductivity by PC Titrator						
Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C		1	μS/cm	600	 	
EA015: Total Dissolved Solids dried at 1	180 + 5 °C		<u> </u>			
Total Dissolved Solids @180°C		10	mg/L	404	 	
EA041: Colour (True)			J			
Colour (True)		1	PCU	<1	 	
pH Colour		0.01	pH Unit	7.49	 	
EA045: Turbidity			P		I	
Turbidity		0.1	NTU	2.0	 	
-		0.1		2.0		
EA065: Total Hardness as CaCO3 Total Hardness as CaCO3		1	mg/L	246		
		I	IIIg/L	240	 	
ED037P: Alkalinity by PC Titrator		1				
Hydroxide Alkalinity as CaCO3	DMO-210-001	1	mg/L	<1	 	
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	3812-32-6	1	mg/L	<1	 	
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	71-52-3	1	mg/L	194	 	
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3		1	mg/L	194	 	
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4						
Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	1	mg/L	31	 	
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser						
Chloride	16887-00-6	1	mg/L	28	 	
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations						
Calcium	7440-70-2	1	mg/L	82	 	
Magnesium	7439-95-4	1	mg/L	10	 	
Sodium	7440-23-5	1	mg/L	27	 	
Potassium	7440-09-7	1	mg/L	3	 	
EG020T: Total Metals by ICP-MS						
Aluminium	7429-90-5	0.01	mg/L	0.02	 	
Silver	7440-22-4	0.001	mg/L	<0.001	 	
Arsenic	7440-38-2	0.001	mg/L	0.008	 	
Boron	7440-42-8	0.05	mg/L	<0.05	 	
Barium	7440-39-3	0.001	mg/L	0.004	 	
Cadmium	7440-43-9	0.0001	mg/L	<0.0001	 	

Page : 4 of 4 Work Order : EW1805133 Client : ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCE SOLUTIONS Project : ENRS0978- Bowning



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)		Clie	ent sample ID	Bowning Bore 1				
	Client sampling date / time			07-Dec-2018 00:00				
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EW1805133-001				
				Result				
EG020T: Total Metals by ICP-MS - Continued								
Chromium	7440-47-3	0.001	mg/L	<0.001				
Copper	7440-50-8	0.001	mg/L	<0.001				
Manganese	7439-96-5	0.001	mg/L	0.591				
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	0.001	mg/L	<0.001				
Nickel	7440-02-0	0.001	mg/L	<0.001				
Lead	7439-92-1	0.001	mg/L	<0.001				
Antimony	7440-36-0	0.001	mg/L	<0.001				
Selenium	7782-49-2	0.01	mg/L	<0.01				
Zinc	7440-66-6	0.005	mg/L	<0.005				
Iron	7439-89-6	0.05	mg/L	0.33				
EG035T: Total Recoverable Mercury by FIMS								
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.0001	mg/L	<0.0001				
EK025G: Free cyanide by Discrete Analyser								
Total Cyanide	57-12-5	0.004	mg/L	<0.004				
EK040P: Fluoride by PC Titrator								
Fluoride	16984-48-8	0.1	mg/L	0.6				
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser								
Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	0.01	mg/L	0.01				
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser								
Nitrite as N	14797-65-0	0.01	mg/L	<0.01				
EK058G: Nitrate as N by Discrete Analy			0					
Nitrate as N	14797-55-8	0.01	mg/L	1.20				
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx)			3					
Nitrite + Nitrate as N) by Discrete Anal	0.01	mg/L	1.20				
		0.01						
EK085M: Sulfide as S2- Sulfide as S2-	18496-25-8	0.1	mg/L	<0.1				
	10490-25-8	0.1	iiig/L	1.0				
EN055: Ionic Balance		0.01	moc/l	E 24				
Total Anions		0.01	meq/L	5.31				
Total Cations		0.01	meq/L	6.17				
Ionic Balance		0.01	%	7.45				